arXiv:1704.08554v1 [math.GN] 27 Apr 2017

METRIC SSGP TOPOLOGIES ON ABELIAN GROUPS OF POSITIVE FINITE DIVISIBLE RANK

DMITRI SHAKHMATOV AND VÍCTOR HUGO YAÑEZ

In memory of W. Wistar Comfort

Abstract. Let G be an abelian group. The cardinal $r(G) = \max\{r_0(G), \sum\{r_p(G) : p \text{ is a prime number}\}\}$, where $r_0(G)$ is the free rank and $r_p(G)$ is the p-rank of G, is called the *rank* of G, and the cardinal $r_d(G) = \min\{r(nG) : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is called the *divisible rank* of G. For a subset A of G, we denote by $\langle A\rangle$ the smallest subgroup of G containing A and let $\mathrm{Cyc}(A) = \{x \in G : \langle x\rangle \subseteq A\}$. An abelian topological group G has the *small subgroup generating property* (abbreviated to *SSGP*) if $\langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U)\rangle$ is dense in G for every neighbourhood U of zero of G. SSGP groups form a proper subclass of the class of minimally almost periodic groups.

Comfort and Gould asked for a characterization of abelian groups G which admit an SSGP group topology. They showed that a bounded torsion abelian group G admits an SSGP group topology if and only if it admits a minimally almost periodic group topology, and Gabriyelyan proved that this happens precisely when all leading Ulm-Kaplansky invariants of G are infinite. This resolves the question when $r_d(G) = 0$. Dikranjan and the first author proved that an abelian group G satisfying $r_d(G) \geq \omega$ always admits an SSGP group topology. In the remaining case $0 < r_d(G) < \omega$, the same authors found a necessary condition on G in order to admit an SSGP group topology and asked if this condition is also sufficient. We answer this question positively, thereby completing the characterization of abelian groups which admit an SSGP group topology. As a corollary, we give a positive answer to another question of Comfort and Gould by showing that an abelian group admits an SSGP(n) group topology for some positive integer n if and only if it admits an SSGP group topology.

As usual, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ denote the groups of integer numbers and rational numbers respectively, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}^+ = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 0.1. For a subset A of a topological group G, we denote by $\langle A\rangle$ the smallest subgroup of G containing A, and we define

$$\langle A\rangle_k = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_i : j \leq k, a_1, \dots, a_j \in A\right\}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. To simplify the notation, we write $\langle x\rangle$ instead of $\langle\{x\}\rangle$ for $x \in G$.

Remark 0.2. If A is a subset of an abelian group G such that $A = -A$, then $\langle A\rangle = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}\langle A\rangle_k$.

1. The small subgroup generating property and classes $\mathtt{SSGP}(\alpha)$

An infinite series of properties SSGP(n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was defined in [1, Definition 3.3].

Definition 1.1. Let G be a topological group.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 22A05; Secondary: 03E99, 06A06, 20K27, 54E35, 54H11.

Key words and phrases. minimal almost periodic group, small subgroup generating property, metric group topology, finite powers of the rational numbers, countable group, abelian group, partially ordered set.

The first listed author was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 26400091 of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

This paper was written as part of the second listed author's Master's Program at the Graduate School of Science and Engineering of Ehime University. The second listed author was partially supported by the 2016 fiscal year grant of the Matsuyama Saibikai.

(a) G has SSGP(0) if G is the trivial group.
(b) For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, G has SSGP(n) provided that, for every neighbourhood U of the identity of G, there exists a family $\mathcal{H}$ of subgroups of G such that $\bigcup \mathcal{H} \subseteq U$ and the smallest closed subgroup N of G containing $\bigcup \mathcal{H}$ is normal in G and G/N has SSGP($n-1$).

The following notion is due to Gould [8]; see also [9, 1].

Definition 1.2. Topological groups in the class SSGP(1) are said to have the *small subgroup generating property*, abbreviated to *SSGP*.

According to von Neumann's terminology [10], a topological group G is called *minimally almost periodic* if every continuous homomorphism from G to a compact group is trivial.

It was proved in [1, Remark 3.4, Theorem 3.5] that

$$\text{SSGP} = \text{SSGP}(1) \to \text{SSGP}(2) \to \ldots \to \text{SSGP}(n) \to \ldots \to \text{ minimally almost periodic.} \tag{1}$$

Examples distinguishing all classes in (1) can be found in [1, Corollary 3.14, Theorem 4.6].

Dikranjan and the first author [4] defined classes $\texttt{SSGP}(\alpha)$ for every ordinal α. We recall their definition below.

Let G be a group. For a subset A of G, define $\mathrm{Cyc}(A) = \{x \in G : \langle x \rangle \subseteq A\}$. For a subgroup H of a group G, the symbol $\mathrm{N}(H)$ denotes the largest normal subgroup of G contained in H called the *heart* of H in G.[1] If G is a topological group, then $\mathrm{N}(H)$ is closed whenever H is closed, as the closure of a normal subgroup of G is a normal subgroup of G.

Let G be a topological group with the identity e_G. For a subset X of G, denote by $\mathrm{Cs}(X)$ the smallest closed subgroup of G containing X. Finally, let $\mathbf{S} = \mathrm{N} \circ \mathrm{Cs} \circ \mathrm{Cyc}$ be the composition of the operators Cyc, Cs and N; that is, $\mathbf{S}(X) = \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Cs}(\mathrm{Cyc}(X)))$ for every $X \subseteq G$.

By transfinite induction, for every ordinal α, define the α's iteration $\mathbf{S}^{(\alpha)}$ of $\mathbf{S}$ as follows. Let $\mathbf{S}^{(0)}(X) = \{e_G\}$ for every $X \subseteq G$. If $\alpha > 0$ is an ordinal and $\mathbf{S}^{(\beta)}(X)$ has already been defined for all $\beta < \alpha$, let

$$\mathbf{S}^{(\alpha)}(X) = \mathbf{S}\left(X \cdot \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} \mathbf{S}^{(\beta)}(X)\right) \quad \text{for every} \quad X \subseteq G.$$

Definition 1.3. [4, Definition 2.3] For an ordinal α, a topological group G is said to be an $\texttt{SSGP}(\alpha)$ *group* provided that $\mathbf{S}^{(\alpha)}(U) = G$ for every neighbourhood U of the identity of G.

The connection of this definition with Definition 1.1 is seen from the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. [4, Theorem 6.4] *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. An abelian topological group is an* SSGP(n) *group in the sense of Definition 1.1 if and only if G is an* $\texttt{SSGP}(n)$ *group in the sense of Definition 1.3.*

This theorem shows that, for abelian groups, the property from Definition 1.3 can be viewed as an operator based description of the class of SSGP(n) groups from Definition 1.1 replacing the iterated use of quotients with the operator $\mathbf{S}^{(n)}$.

If α and β are ordinals with $\beta < \alpha$, then $\texttt{SSGP}(\beta) \to \texttt{SSGP}(\alpha)$ [4, Proposition 5.1]. Every $\texttt{SSGP}(\alpha)$ group is minimally almost periodic [4, Proposition 5.3 (iii)]. Thus, we have the following chain of implications extending the similar chain from (1).

$$\text{SSGP} = \texttt{SSGP}(1) \to \texttt{SSGP}(2) \to \ldots \to \texttt{SSGP}(\alpha) \to \texttt{SSGP}(\alpha+1) \to \ldots \to \text{ minimally almost periodic.} \tag{2}$$

All classes in (2) coincide for bounded torsion abelian topological groups.

[1] Its existence follows from the fact that the family $\mathcal{N}$ of normal subgroups of G contained in H is directed, as the product N_1N_2 of two members of $\mathcal{N}$ still belongs to $\mathcal{N}$, so $\mathrm{N}(H) = \bigcup \mathcal{N}$.

Corollary 1.5. [1, Corollary 2.23] *A bounded torsion abelian topological group is* SSGP *if and only if it is minimally almost periodic.*

One can provide a simple reformulation of the SSGP property for abelian groups [4].

Proposition 1.6. *An abelian topological group G has the small subgroup generating property if and only if $\langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U)\rangle$ is dense in G for every neighbourhood U of zero of G.*

We finish this section with another reformulation of this property for abelian groups. (We refer the reader to Definition 0.1 for the he notation $\langle A\rangle_k$.)

Proposition 1.7. *For an abelian topological group G, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *G has the small subgroup generating property;*
(ii) *$G = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} W + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle_k$ for every neighbourhood W of 0 in G.*

Proof. (i)→(ii) Suppose that G has the small subgroup generating property. Let W be a neighbourhood of 0 in G. Since $\mathrm{Cyc}(W) = -\mathrm{Cyc}(W)$, we have $\langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}\langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle_k$ by Remark 0.2. Furthermore, $\langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle$ is dense in G by Proposition 1.6, so

$$G = W + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle = W + \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle_k = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} W + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle_k.$$

(ii)→(i) Suppose that G satisfies the property from item (ii) of our lemma. Fix a neighbourhood U of 0 in G By Proposition 1.6, to check that G has the small subgroup generating property, it suffices to prove that $\langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U)\rangle$ is dense in G. This is equivalent to establishing the equality $G = V + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U)\rangle$ for every neighbourhood V of 0 in G. Let V be an arbitrary neighbourhood of 0 in G. Then $W = U \cap V$ is a neighbourhood of 0 in G, so we can apply (ii) to this W to obtain

$$G = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} W + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle_k \subseteq V + \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U)\rangle_k \subseteq V + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U)\rangle.$$

The converse inclusion $V + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U)\rangle \subseteq G$ is clear. □

2. Introduction and results

Comfort and Gould [1] asked the following question.

Question 2.1. (a) [1, Question 5.2] What are the (abelian) groups which admit an SSGP topology?
(b) [1, Question 5.3] Does every abelian group which for some $n > 1$ admits an SSGP(n) topology also admit an SSGP topology?

Following [6], for an abelian group G, we denote by $r_0(G)$ the free rank of G, by $r_p(G)$ the p-rank of G, and we let

$$r(G) = \max\left\{r_0(G),\ \sum\{r_p(G) : p \in \mathbf{P}\}\right\},$$

where $\mathbf{P}$ denotes the set of prime numbers.

Definition 2.2. [3, Definition 7.2] For an abelian group G, the cardinal

$$r_d(G) = \min\{r(nG) : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\} \tag{3}$$

is called the *divisible rank* of G.

The notion of the divisible rank was defined, under the name of *final rank*, by Szele [11] for p-groups.

Remark 2.3. An abelian group G satisfies $r_d(G) = 0$ if and only if G is a bounded torsion group; that is, if $nG = \{0\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Recall that a non-trivial bounded torsion abelian group G is a direct sum

$$G = \bigoplus_{p\in\pi(G)} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_p} \mathbb{Z}(p^i)^{(\alpha_{p,i})} \tag{4}$$

of cyclic groups, where $\pi(G)$ is a non-empty finite set of prime numbers and the cardinals $\alpha_{p,i}$ are known as *Ulm-Kaplanski invariants* of G. Note that while some of them may be equal to zero, the cardinals α_{p,m_p} must be positive; they are called *leading Ulm-Kaplanski invariants* of G.

Gabriyelyan [7] proved that a non-trivial bounded abelian group admits a minimally almost periodic group topology precisely when all its leading Ulm-Kaplanski invariants are infinite. (An alternative proof of this result can be found also in [5].) Combining this with Corollary 1.5 and Remark 2.3, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *A non-trivial abelian group G satisfying $r_d(G) = 0$ admits an* SSGP *group topology if and only if all leading Ulm-Kaplanski invariants of G are infinite.*

Dikranjan and the first author completely resolved Question 2.1 for abelian groups of infinite divisible rank.

Theorem 2.5. [4, Theorem 3.2] *Every abelian group G satisfying $r_d(G) \geq \omega$ admits an* SSGP *group topology.*

In the remaining case $0 < r_d(G) < \omega$, Dikranjan and the first author found a necessary condition on G in order to admit an SSGP group topology.

Theorem 2.6. [4, Theorem 3.8] *Let G be an abelian* $\mathtt{SSGP}(\alpha)$ *group for some ordinal α. If $1 \leq r_d(G) < \infty$, then the quotient $H = G/t(G)$ of G with respect to its torsion part*

$$t(G) = \{g \in G : ng = 0 \ \text{ for some } \ n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$$

has finite rank $r_0(H)$ and $r(H/A) = \omega$ for some (equivalently, every) free subgroup A of H such that H/A is torsion.

Dikranjan and the first author asked if the necessary condition given in Theorem 2.6 is also sufficient for the existence of an SSGP group topology on G. Moreover, the same authors reduced this problem to the following question:

Question 2.7. [4, Question 13.1] Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and

$$G = G_0 \times \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}(p_i^\infty)\right) \times F,$$

where F is a finite group, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$ are (not necessarily distinct) prime numbers, and G_0 is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ containing $\mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $G_0 \not\subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$ for every finite set π of prime numbers. Is it true that G admits an SSGP group topology?

The notation $\mathbb{Q}_\pi$ appearing in the above question is given in the next definition.

Definition 2.8. For a set $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{P}$, we use $\mathbb{Q}_\pi$ to denote the set of all rational numbers q whose irreducible representation $q = z/n$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is such that all prime divisors of n belong to π.

Dikranjan and the first author conjectured that Question 2.7 has a positive answer, and modulo this positive answer, they provisionally proved the following theorem completely characterizing abelian groups G admitting an SSGP group topology in the remaining open case $0 < r_d(G) < \omega$.

Theorem 2.9. [4, Theorem 13.2] *Let G be an abelian group satisfying $1 \leq r_d(G) < \infty$.* ***Suppose that the answer to Question 2.7 is positive.*** *Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *G admits an* SSGP *topology;*
(ii) *G admits an* $\mathtt{SSGP}(\alpha)$ *topology for some ordinal α;*
(iii) *the quotient $H = G/t(G)$ of G with respect to its torsion part $t(G)$ has finite rank $r_0(H)$ and $r(H/A) = \omega$ for some (equivalently, every) free subgroup A of H such that H/A is torsion.*

The main goal of this paper is to answer Question 2.7 in the positive, thereby confirming the validity of Theorem 2.9 *unconditionally*. Therefore, **the text typeset in boldface in Theorem 2.9 can be omitted**.

In fact, we give a positive answer to a more general version of Question 2.7.

Theorem 2.10. *Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and G_0 is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ containing $\mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $G_0 \not\subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$ for every finite set π of prime numbers. Then for each at most countable abelian group H, the product $G = G_0 \times H$ admits a metric SSGP group topology.*

In the abelian case, Corollary 2.4, Theorem 2.5 and the unconditional version of Theorem 2.9 (which has now been proved) provide a complete solution to item (a) of Question 2.1, while the next corollary provides a complete solution to item (b) of the same question:

Corollary 2.11. *For an abelian group G, the following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *G admits an* SSGP *topology;*
(ii) *G admits an* $\mathtt{SSGP}(\alpha)$ *topology for some ordinal α.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we prove two lemmas about the algebraic structure of subgroups $\mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$ of finite powers $\mathbb{Q}^m$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ which are needed in the proof of the main theorem. In Section 4 we introduce the notion of a wide subgroup G of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ (these are subgroups G of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ containing $\mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $G \not\subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$ for every finite set π of prime numbers) and we establish two auxiliary lemmas about wide subgroups needed in the sequel.

Let us explain the strategy for constructing a metric group $\mathscr{T}$ topology on G as in the conclusion of Theorem 2.10. First, we introduce a poset $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ in Section 5. Next, we define a countable family $\mathscr{D}$ of dense subsets of $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ and select a linearly ordered subset $\mathbb{F}$ of $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ intersecting all members of the family $\mathscr{D}$; this can be done due to a folklore Lemma 7.1. Finally, the countable base of neighbourhoods of zero for $\mathscr{T}$ is defined by means of elements of $\mathbb{F}$ in Section 7. The family $\mathscr{D}$ is defined in (35). Section 6 collects lemmas establishing density in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ of various sets participating in the family $\mathscr{D}$. The "technical heart" of this section is Lemma 6.6 which is responsible for the SSGP property of $\mathscr{T}$.

The reader familiar with Martin's Axiom undoubtedly notices that we are using here a "ZFC version" of this axiom when the family of dense sets is at most countable. The choice of such an exposition was determined by the author's desire to replace a direct construction of $\mathscr{T}$ via an induction (which would be totally incomprehensible) by a "much smoother" forcing-type argument using a poset $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ and some dense subsets of it (which is much easier to follow than the direct inductive construction). We hope that, after discovering the technical complexity even of this "smooth" approach, the reader would fully agree with our judgement.

3. The algebraic structure of subgroups $\mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$ of $\mathbb{Q}^m$

Definition 3.1. Given an integer $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we shall denote by $\lceil s \rceil$ the subgroup $s\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$.

We also refer the reader to Definition 2.8 for the notation $\mathbb{Q}_\pi$ appearing in this section.

A straightforward proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\pi \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then:*
(i) *$\mathbb{Q}_\pi$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}$, so $\mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$.*
(ii) *$\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\pi$, and so $\lceil s \rceil^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$ for each $s \in \mathbb{N}^+$.*

(iii) *If* $\pi \subseteq \pi' \subseteq \mathbf{P}$, *then* $\mathbb{Q}_\pi \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi'}$, *and so* $\mathbb{Q}_\pi^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi'}^m$.

Our next lemma clarifies the algebraic structure of subgroups $\mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$ of $\mathbb{Q}^m$.

Lemma 3.3. (A) *Suppose that* $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k \in [\mathbf{P}]^{<\omega}$,

$$\pi_0 \subseteq \pi_1 \subseteq \pi_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \pi_k, \tag{5}$$

and the following conditions hold for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$*:*

(a_j) $g_j \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_j}^m$,
(b_j) $\langle g_j \rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{j-1}}^m \subseteq \lceil s \rceil^m$.

Then:

(i) $\langle \{g_1, \dots, g_i\} \rangle + \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_0}^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_i}^m$ *for every* $i = 1, \dots, k$.
(ii) $\langle \{g_i, \dots, g_k\} \rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m \subseteq \lceil s \rceil^m$ *for every* $i = 1, \dots, k$.

(B) *In addition to the assumptions of item (A), suppose that the following condition holds for every* $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$*:*

(c_j) $mg_j \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{j-1}}^m$ *for every* $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ *satisfying* $|m| \le k$.

Finally, assume that $g \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_0}^m$, J *is a proper subset of the set* $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|l| \le k$ *and*

$$lg_0 \in \langle \{g_j : j \in J\} \rangle + \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_0}^m, \tag{6}$$

where

$$g_0 = g - \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_j. \tag{7}$$

Then $l = 0$.

Proof. First, we check item (A).

(i) Let $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ be arbitrary. Fix $j = 1, \dots, i$. Then $\pi_j \subseteq \pi_i$ by (5). Applying Lemma 3.2 (iii), we get $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi_j}^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_i}^m$. Since $g_j \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_j}^m$ by (a_j), we obtain $g_j \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_i}^m$. Since this holds for arbitrary $j = 1, \dots, i$, we conclude that $\{g_1, \dots, g_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_i}^m$. Since $\pi_0 \subseteq \pi_i$ by (5), applying Lemma 3.2 (iii) once again, we obtain that $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi_0}^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_i}^m$. Since $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi_i}^m$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ by Lemma 3.2 (i), this implies $\langle \{g_1, \dots, g_i\} \rangle + \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_0}^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_i}^m$.

(ii) We shall prove item (ii) using induction on k.

Basis of induction. If $k = 1$, then the conclusion of item (ii) holds by (b_1).

Inductive step. Let $k \ge 2$ and suppose that item (ii) has already been proved for $k - 1$.

Let $i = 1, \dots, k$ by arbitrary. Fix

$$h \in \langle \{g_i, \dots, g_k\} \rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m. \tag{8}$$

Then there exist $x \in \langle \{g_i, \dots, g_{k-1}\} \rangle$ and $y \in \langle g_k \rangle$ such that $h = x + y$. In particular, $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{k-1}}^m$ by item (i), as $0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_0}^m$. Since $i - 1 \le k - 1$, we have $\pi_{i-1} \subseteq \pi_{k-1}$ by (5), which implies $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{k-1}}^m$ by Lemma 3.2 (iii). From this and (8), we obtain $h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{k-1}}^m$. Therefore, $y = h - x \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{k-1}}^m - \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{k-1}}^m = \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{k-1}}^m$, as $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{k-1}}^m$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$. Now $y \in \langle g_k \rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{k-1}}^m \subseteq \lceil s \rceil^m$ by (b_k). Recalling item (ii) of Lemma 3.2, we conclude that $y \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m$. Since $h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_i}^m$ by (8), we get $x = h - y \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m - \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m = \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m$, as $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$. We obtained that $x \in \langle \{g_i, \dots, g_{k-1}\} \rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m$. By our inductive assumption, $\langle \{g_i, \dots, g_{k-1}\} \rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{i-1}}^m \subseteq \lceil s \rceil^m$, so $x \in \lceil s \rceil^m$. Since $y \in \lceil s \rceil^m$ as well, $h = x + y \in \lceil s \rceil^m + \lceil s \rceil^m = \lceil s \rceil^m$.

Next, we check item (B). From (6) and (7), we get

$$lg - \sum_{j=1}^{k} lg_j \in \langle \{g_j : j \in J\} \rangle + \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_0}^m.$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ by Lemma 3.2 (i) and $g \in \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}$ by our assumption, it follows that

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{k} lg_j \in \langle \{g_j : j \in J\}\rangle + \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}. \tag{9}$$

Since J is a proper subset of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we can fix $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \setminus J$. From this and (9), we can find

$$x \in \langle \{g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}\}\rangle \text{ and } y \in \langle \{g_{i+1}, \dots, g_k\}\rangle \tag{10}$$

such that

$$lg_i \in x + y + \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}. \tag{11}$$

(If $i = 1$, we define $x = 0$, and if $i = k$, we define $y = 0$.) Then

$$y \in lg_i - x + \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0} \in \langle g_i\rangle - \langle \{g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}\}\rangle + \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0} = \langle \{g_1, \dots, g_i\}\rangle + \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_i}$$

by subitem (i) of item (A). Recalling the second inclusion in (10), we get $y \in \langle \{g_{i+1}, \dots, g_k\}\rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_i}$. Now applying subitem (ii) of item (A), we conclude that $y \in \lceil s \rceil^m$. Combining this with Lemma 3.2 (ii), we get $y \in \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_{i-1}}$. From the first inclusion in (10) and subitem (i) of item (A), we get $x \in \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_i}$. Note that $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_{i-1}}$ by subitem (i) of item (A), as $0 \in \langle \{g_1, \dots . g_i\}\rangle$. Since $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_{i-1}}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$, from $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_{i-1}}$, the inclusion $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_{i-1}}$ and (11), one obtains $lg_i \in \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_{i-1}}$. Since $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|l| \leq k$, applying (c_i) with $m = l$, we get $l = 0$. □

4. Wide subgroups of $\mathbb{Q}^m$

Our next definition gives a name to subgroups of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ having the property from Question 2.7.

Definition 4.1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$. We shall call a subgroup G of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ *wide* if $\mathbb{Z}^m \subseteq G$ and $G \setminus \mathbb{Q}^m_\pi \neq \emptyset$ for every $\pi \in [\mathbf{P}]^{<\omega}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a wide subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$, $\pi \in [\mathbf{P}]^{<\omega}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that:*

(i) $\langle g\rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_\pi \subseteq \lceil s \rceil^m$,
(ii) $lg \notin \mathbb{Q}^m_\pi$ *for every* $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ *satisfying* $|l| \leq k$.

Proof. Let ϖ be the set of all prime numbers not exceeding $\max\{k, s\}$. Then $\pi' = \pi \cup \varpi \in [\mathbf{P}]^{<\omega}$. Since G is nice, we can find $h \in G \setminus \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi'}$. Let $h = (h_1, \dots, h_m)$, where $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathbb{Q}$. For every $i = 1, \dots, m$, let $h_i = a_i/b_i$ be the irreducible fraction with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Since $h \notin \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi'}$, we can fix $t \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $h_t \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\pi'}$. Since a_t/b_t is an irreducible representation of $h_t \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{\pi'}$, we can fix $p \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \pi'$ dividing b_t. Since $\varpi \subseteq \pi'$ and $p \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \pi'$, we have $p \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \varpi$. Since ϖ includes all prime numbers not exceeding $\max\{k, s\}$, we conclude that

$$p > \max\{k, s\}. \tag{12}$$

For every $i = 1, \dots, m$, let

$$n_i = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p^n \text{ divides } b_i\}. \tag{13}$$

Then

$$c_i = b_i/p^{n_i} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ is not divisible by } p. \tag{14}$$

Note that

$$n_t \geq 1, \tag{15}$$

as p divides b_t by our choice of p. It follows from $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and (14) that

$$m_0 = sc_1c_2 \cdots c_m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{16}$$

Since G is a group and $h \in G$, it follows that $g = m_0 h \in G$. Note that

$$g = (m_0 h_1, \dots, m_0 h_m). \tag{17}$$

We claim that g is the required element of G; that is, conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

Fix $i = 1, \dots, m$. It follows from (14) and (16) that

$$m_0 h_i = s c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m \frac{a_i}{b_i} = s \left(\prod_{j=1, j\neq i}^{m} c_j \right) \frac{b_i}{p^{n_i}} \frac{a_i}{b_i} = s \left(\prod_{j=1, j\neq i}^{m} c_j \right) \frac{a_i}{p^{n_i}} = \frac{s d_i}{p^{n_i}}, \tag{18}$$

where

$$d_i = c_1 \cdots c_{i-1} a_i c_{i+1} \cdots c_m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{19}$$

Claim 1. The right-hand side of (18) is the irreducible representation of $m_0 h_i$.

Proof. If $n_i = 0$, then $p^{n_i} = 1$, so $sd_i/1$ is the irreducible representation of $m_0 h_i$. Suppose now that $n_i \geq 1$. In this case, it suffices to check that neither s nor d_i is divisible by p. The first statement follows from (12). Since $n_i \geq 1$, (13) implies that p divides b_i. Since a_i/b_i is an irreducible fraction, p does not divide a_i. By (14), each c_j is not divisible by p. By (19), this means that p does not divide d_i. □

(i) By (17), in order to check (i), we need to show that $\langle m_0 h_i \rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}_\pi \subseteq s\mathbb{Z}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. Fix such an integer i. Let $x \in \langle m_0 h_i \rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}_\pi$. Then $x = l m_0 h_i$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $p \notin \pi$, it follows from $x \in \mathbb{Q}_\pi$, Definition 2.8 and Claim 1 that p^{n_i} must divide l, so $l = p^{n_i} l'$ for some $l' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $x = l m_0 h_i = l' s d_i$ by (18). Since $l' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (19), we conclude that $x \in \lceil s \rceil$. This implies $\langle m_0 h_i \rangle \cap \mathbb{Q}_\pi \subseteq \lceil s \rceil$.

(ii) By (17), in order to check (ii), it suffices to show that $l m_0 h_t \notin \mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$ for every $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $|l| \leq k$. Fix l satisfying these conditions. Since $|l| \leq k < p$ by (12), p does not divide l. Recalling Claim 1 and (18), we conclude that lsd_t/p^{n_t} is the irreducible representation of $l m_0 h_t$. Since $p \notin \pi$ and $n_t \geq 1$ by (15), from this and Definition 2.8, we obtain that $l m_0 h_t \notin \mathbb{Q}_\pi$. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, G be a wide subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$, $\pi_0 \in [\mathbf{P}]^{<\omega}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exist an increasing sequence $\pi_0 \subseteq \pi_1 \subseteq \pi_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \pi_k$ of finite subsets of $\mathbf{P}$ and elements $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$ such that conditions (a_j), (b_j), (c_j) of Lemma 3.3 hold for every $j = 1, \dots, k$.*

Proof. The lemma is proved by finite induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ based on Lemma 4.2. □

5. The poset $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$

In this section we define a (technically rather involved) poset $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ which shall be used to construct a metric SSGP group topology $\mathscr{T}$ on the direct sum $G \oplus H$ of a wide subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and an arbitrary at most countable abelian group H. The definition of a poset itself does not require the group G is to be wide and the countability restriction on H is not essential, so we do not impose either of these two conditions in the next definition.

Definition 5.1. Let H be an abelian group. For a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, consider the direct sum $\mathbb{Q}^m \oplus H$. Furthermore, let G be a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ containing $\mathbb{Z}^m$. Then the sum $G + H = G \oplus H$ is direct.

(a) Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the set of all structures $p = \ll \pi^p, n^p, \{U_i^p : i \in n^p + 1\}, \{s_i^p : i \in n^p + 1\} \gg$ satisfying the following conditions:
- (1_p) $\pi^p \in [\mathbf{P}]^{<\omega}$,
- (2_p) $n^p \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (3_p) $s_i^p \in \mathbb{N}^+$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$,
- (4_p) $0 \in U_i^p \subseteq (G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^p}^m) + H$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$,
- (5_p) $-U_i^p = U_i^p$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$,
- (6_p) $U_i^p + \lceil s_i^p \rceil^m = U_i^p$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$,

(7_p) $U^p_{i+1} + U^p_{i+1} \subseteq U^p_i$ for every $i \in n^p$,
(8_p) s^p_i divides s^p_{i+1} for every $i \in n^p$.

(b) Given structures

$$p = \ll \pi^p, n^p, \{U^p_i : i \in n^p + 1\}, \{s^p_i : i \in n^p + 1\} \gg \in \mathbb{P}$$

and

$$q = \ll \pi^q, n^q, \{U^q_i : i \in n^q + 1\}, \{s^q_i : i \in n^q + 1\} \gg \in \mathbb{P},$$

we define $q \leq p$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i^p_q) $\pi^p \subseteq \pi^q$,
(ii^p_q) $n^p \leq n^q$,
(iii^p_q) $U^q_i \cap (\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p} + H) = U^p_i$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$,
(iv^p_q) $s^q_i = s^p_i$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$.

Remark 5.2. Let $p = \ll \pi^p, n^p, \{U^p_i : i \in n^p + 1\}, \{s^p_i : i \in n^p + 1\}, \{s^p_i : i \in n^p + 1\} \gg \in \mathbb{P}$. Then:

(i) $U^p_{i+1} \subseteq U^p_i$ *for every* $i \in n^p$. Indeed, $0 \in U^p_{i+1}$ by (4_p), so $U^p_{i+1} = 0 + U^p_{i+1} \subseteq U^p_{i+1} + U^p_{i+1} \subseteq U^p_i$ by (7_p).
(ii) $\lceil s^p_i \rceil^m \subseteq U^p_i$ *for every* $i \in n^p + 1$. Indeed, $U^p_i + \lceil s^p_i \rceil^m = U^p_i$ by (6_p). Since $0 \in U^p_i$ by (4_p), this implies $\lceil s^p_i \rceil^m \subseteq U^p_i$.

A straightforward proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

Lemma 5.3. $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ *is a poset.*

Lemma 5.4. $\mathbb{P} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Define $\pi^p = \emptyset$, $n^p = 0$, $s^p_0 = 1$, $U^p_0 = \mathbb{Z}^m$, and

$$p = \ll \pi^p, n^p, \{U^p_i : i \in 1\}, \{s^p_i : i \in 1\} \gg = \ll \emptyset, 0, \{U^p_0\}, \{s^p_0\} \gg .$$

To show that $p \in \mathbb{P}$, we need to check the conditions (1_p)–(8_p) of Definition 5.1 (a). Conditions (1_p)–(3_p) are clear, and conditions (7_p) and (8_p) are vacuous. Conditions (5_p) and (6_p) are satisfied, as $U^p_0 = \mathbb{Z}^m$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$ and $\lceil 1 \rceil^m = \mathbb{Z}^m$. □

Lemma 5.5. *Given* $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $g \in G \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_p}$ *and* $h \in H$ *with* $g + h \neq 0$, *one can find* $q \in \mathbb{P}$ *such that* $q \leq p$, $n^q = n^p + 1$ *and* $g + h \notin U_{n^q}$.

Proof. Let

- $\pi^q = \pi^p$,
- $n^q = n^p + 1$,
- $U^q_i = U^p_i$ and $s^q_i = s^p_i$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$.

It remains only to define $U^q_{n^q}$ and $s^q_{n^q}$.

Since $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+} \lceil k s^p_{n^p} \rceil^m = \{0\}$ and $g + h \neq 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $g + h \notin \lceil k s^p_{n^p} \rceil^m$. Define $s^q_{n_q} = k s^p_{n^p}$. Since $s^p_{n^p} \in \mathbb{N}^+$ by (3_p) and $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $s^q_{n_q} \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and

$$s^p_{n^p} \text{ divides } s^q_{n^q}. \tag{20}$$

By our choice of $s^q_{n_q}$, we have

$$g + h \notin \lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m. \tag{21}$$

Finally, we define

$$U^q_{n^q} = \lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m. \tag{22}$$

Claim 2. $q = \ll \pi^q, n^q, \{U^q_i : i \in n^q + 1\}, \{s^q_i : i \in n^q + 1\} \gg \in \mathbb{P}$.

Proof. According to Definition 5.1 (a), we have to check that the structure q satisfies conditions (1_q)–(8_q). By our construction, conditions (1_q), (2_q), (3_q) and (8_q) hold.

Let us check conditions (4_q)–(7_q). Since conditions (4_p)–(7_p) hold and $U^q_i = U^p_i$, $s^q_i = s^p_i$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$, it follows that conditions (4_q)–(6_q) hold for each $i \in n^p + 1 = n^q$ and condition (7_q) holds for each $i \in n^p$. Therefore, it remains only to check the following four conditions:

(a) $0 \in U^q_{n^q} \subseteq (G \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q}) + H$,
(b) $-U^q_{n^q} = U^q_{n^q}$,
(c) $U^q_{n^q} + \lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m = U^q_{n^q}$,
(d) $U^q_{n_q} + U^q_{n_q} \subseteq U^q_{n_p}$.

Conditions (b) and (c) are immediate from (22) and the fact that $\lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$.

Clearly, $0 \in \lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^m \subseteq G$ by our assumption on G. Furthermore, $\lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^q_{\pi^q}$ by Lemma 3.2 (ii). Combining this with (22), we get $0 \in U^q_{n_q} \subseteq G \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q} \subseteq (G \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q}) + H$. Thus, (a) holds.

From (22), we get $U^q_{n_q} + U^q_{n_q} = \lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m + \lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m = \lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m$. Since $s^p_{n^p}$ divides $s^q_{n^q}$ by (20), we have the inclusion $\lceil s^q_{n^q} \rceil^m \subseteq \lceil s^p_{n^p} \rceil^m$. Finally, $\lceil s^p_{n^p} \rceil^m \subseteq U^q_{n_p}$ by Remark 5.2 (ii). This finishes the check of (d). □

The inequality $q \leq p$ is clear from our construction of q and Definition 5.1 (b). Finally, from (21) and (22), we get $g + h \notin U_{n^q}$. □

6. Density lemmas

Definition 6.1. Let $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ be a poset. Recall that a set $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is called:

(i) *dense in* $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ provided that for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$ there exists $q \in D$ such that $q \leq p$;
(ii) *downward-closed in* $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ if for every $p \in D$ and $q \in \mathbb{P}$ the inequality $q \leq p$ implies that $q \in D$.

The relation between these two notions is made apparent by the following straightforward lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *If $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ are dense subsets of a poset $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ and A is downward-closed, then $A \cap B$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.*

Lemma 6.3. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $A_n = \{q \in \mathbb{P} : n \leq n^q\}$ is dense and downward-closed in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If $n \leq n^p$, then $p \in A_n$, so we shall assume from now on that $n^p < n$. Then $k = n - n^p \geq 1$.

Note that $\mathbb{Z}^m \subseteq G$ by our assumption on G and $\mathbb{Z}^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}$ by Lemma 3.2 (ii), so $\mathbb{Z}^m \subseteq G \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}$. This allows us to fix $g \in G \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}$ with $g \neq 0$. Let $h = 0$. Then $g + h = g \neq 0$.

Let $q_0 = p$. By finite induction on $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we can use Lemma 5.5 to find $q_i \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $q_i \leq q_{i-1}$ and $n^{q_i} = n^{q_{i-1}} + 1 = n^p + i$. (Note that g and h play a "dummy role" in this argument; their sole purpose here is to make the assumptions of Lemma 5.5 satisfied.) Now $q_k \leq q_{k-1} \leq \cdots \leq q_1 \leq q_0 = p$ and $n^{q_k} = n^p + k = n$, so $q_k \in A_n$. This shows that A_n is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.

Finally, given $p \in A_n$ and $q \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $q \leq p$, we have that $n \leq n^p \leq n^q$, thus showing that $q \in A_n$ and therefore, that A_n is downward-closed. □

Lemma 6.4. *For every $\pi \in [\mathbf{P}]^{<\omega}$, the set $B_\pi = \{q \in \mathbb{P} : \pi \subseteq \pi^q\}$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.*

Proof. Let $\pi \in [\mathbf{P}]^{<\omega}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Define

- $\pi^q = \pi^p \cup \pi$,
- $n^q = n^p$,
- $s^q_i = s^p_i$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$; and
- $U^q_i = U^p_i$ for every $i \in n^p + 1$.

A straightforward check using Definition 5.1 (a) shows that

$$q = \ll \pi^q, n^q, \{U_i^q : i \in n^q + 1\}, \{s_i^q : i \in n^q + 1\} \gg \in \mathbb{P}.$$

From our definition of q and Definition 5.1 (b), one easily concludes that $q \leq p$. Clearly, $q \in B_\pi$. This shows that B_π is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$. □

Lemma 6.5. *The set $C_{g+h} = \{q \in \mathbb{P} : g + h \in (\mathbb{Q}_{\pi^q}^m + H) \setminus U_{n^q}^q\}$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ whenever $g \in G$, $h \in H$ and $g + h \neq 0$.*

Proof. Fix $g \in G$ and $h \in H$ such that $g + h \neq 0$. Let $r \in \mathbb{P}$ be arbitrary. Since $g \in G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^m$, there exists $\pi \in [\mathbf{P}]^{<\omega}$ such that $g \in \mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$. Since B_π is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ by Lemma 6.4, there exists $p \in B_\pi$ such that $p \leq r$. Now $\pi \subseteq \pi^p$ by definition of B_π, so $\mathbb{Q}_\pi^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^p}^m$ by Lemma 3.2 (iii). Since $g \in \mathbb{Q}_\pi^m$, we also have $g \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^p}^m$. We have checked that $g \in G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^p}^m$. Applying Lemma 5.5, we can find $q \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $q \leq p \leq r$ and $g + h \notin U_{n^q}$. Since $q \leq p$, we have $\pi^p \subseteq \pi^q$ by (i_q^p), so $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi^p}^m \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^q}^m$ by Lemma 3.2 (iii). Since $g \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^p}^m$, we also have $g \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^q}^m$. Therefore, $g + h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^q}^m + H$. We have proved that $g + h \in (\mathbb{Q}_{\pi^q}^m + H) \setminus U_{n^q}^q$, so $q \in C_{g+h}$. Since $q \leq r$, this shows that C_{g+h} is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$. □

Lemma 6.6. *If G is a wide subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$, then the set*

$$D_{g+h} = \left\{ q \in \mathbb{P} : g + h \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+} U_{n^q}^q + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U_{n^q}^q) \rangle_k \right\} \tag{23}$$

is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

Proof. Let $g \in G$, $h \in H$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 6.4, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $g \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^p}$. Define

$$k = 2^{n^p} + 1. \tag{24}$$

Applying Lemma 4.3 to $\pi_0 = \pi^p$ and $s = s_{n^p}^p$, we can find an increasing sequence

$$\pi^p = \pi_0 \subseteq \pi_1 \subseteq \pi_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \pi_k = \pi^q \tag{25}$$

of finite subsets of $\mathbf{P}$ and elements $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$ such that conditions (a_j), (b_j), (c_j) of Lemma 3.3 (in which we let $s = s_{n^p}^p$) hold for every $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

Define g_0 as in (7). Since G is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}$, $g \in \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_0}$ and (a_j) holds for every $j = 1, \ldots, k$, it follows from (5) and (7) that

$$\{g_j : j \in k + 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^q}^m. \tag{26}$$

Let

$$U_{n^p}^q = U_{n^p}^p \cup \left(\left(\{g_0 + h\} \cup \{-(g_0 + h)\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \langle g_j \rangle \right) + \lceil s_{n^p}^p \rceil^m \right). \tag{27}$$

By finite reverse induction, we define

$$U_i^q = U_i^p \cup (U_{i+1}^q + U_{i+1}^q + \lceil s_i^p \rceil^m) \tag{28}$$

for every $i = n^p - 1, \ldots, 0$.

Let $n^q = n^p$ and $s_i^q = s_i^p$ for every $i \in n^q + 1 = n^p + 1$.

Claim 3. $q = \ll \pi^q, n^q, \{U_i^q : i \in n^q + 1\}, \{s_i^q : i \in n^q + 1\} \gg \in \mathbb{P}$.

Proof. We need to check conditions (1_q)–(8_q).

Conditions (1_q), (2_q) and (3_q) are trivial.

(4_q) By (4_p) and (28), $0 \in U_i^p \subseteq U_i^q$ for every $i \in n^q + 1 = n^p + 1$. By (4_p), $U_i^p \subseteq (G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\pi^p}^m) + H$ for every $i \in n^q + 1 = n^p + 1$. Since G is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$, from this, $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$ and (27), it

follows that $U^q_{n^q} = U^q_{n^p} \subseteq G + H$. Furthermore, (26) and (27) imply that $U^q_{n^q} = U^q_{n^p} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_q} + H$. Thus, $U^q_{n^q} \subseteq (G \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q}) + H$. Since $(G \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q}) + H$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m + H$, from this, (28) and finite reverse induction on $i = n^q, n^q - 1, \dots, 0$, one concludes that $U^q_i \subseteq (G \cap \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q}) + H$ for every $i = n^q + 1$.

(5_q) From (27) and (5_p), we get $-U_{n^p} = U_{n^p}$. Starting with this and using (28), (5_p) and finite reverse induction on $i = n^q, n^q - 1, \dots, 0$, one concludes that $-U^q_i = U^q_i$ for every $i = n^q + 1$.

(6_q) The equation $U^q_{n^p} + \lceil s^p_{n^p} \rceil^m = U^q_{n^p}$ follows from (27) and (6_p). Starting with this and using (28), (6_p) and finite reverse induction on $i = n^p, n^p - 1, \dots, 0$, one concludes that $U^q_i + \lceil s^p_i \rceil^m = U^q_i$ for every $i = n^p + 1$. Since $n^q = n^p$ and $s^q_i = s^p_i$ for every $i \in n^q + 1$, this establishes (6_q).

(7_q) follows from (28).

(8_q) follows from (8_p), as $s^q_i = s^p_i$ for every $i \in n^q + 1$. □

Claim 4. $q \in D_{g+h}$.

Proof. It follows from (27) that $g_0 + h \in U^q_{n^q}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathrm{Cyc}(U^q_{n^q})$. Furthermore, $g = g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i$ by (7). Thus,

$$g + h = g_0 + h + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \in U^q_{n^q} + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U^q_{n^q}) \rangle_k$$

by Definition 0.1, so $q \in D_{g+h}$ by (23). □

Our final goal is to prove the inequality $q \leq p$. Before establishing this, we need to check two auxiliary facts.

Claim 5. The inclusion

$$U^q_i \subseteq \bigcup \left\{ U^p_i + l(g_0 + h) + \sum_{j \in J} \langle g_j \rangle + \lceil s^p_i \rceil^m : l \in \mathbb{Z}, |l| \leq 2^{n^q - i}, J \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}^{\leq 2^{n^q - i}} \right\} \tag{29}$$

holds for every $i \in n^p + 1$.

Proof. This is proved by reverse induction on $i = n^p, n^p - 1, \dots, 0$. For $i = n^p$ this follows from (27).

Let us make an inductive step. Suppose that $i \in n^p$ and we have already proved the inclusion (29) for $i + 1$; that is, we have shown that the inclusion

(30)

$$U^q_{i+1} \subseteq \bigcup \left\{ U^p_{i+1} + l(g_0 + h) + \sum_{j \in J} \langle g_j \rangle + \lceil s^p_{i+1} \rceil^m : l \in \mathbb{Z}, |l| \leq 2^{n^q - i - 1}, J \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}^{\leq 2^{n^q - i - 1}} \right\}$$

holds. We are going to show that the inclusion (29) holds as well.

Let $x \in U^q_i$. By equation (28), either $x \in U^p_i$ or $x \in U^q_{i+1} + U^q_{i+1} + \lceil s^p_i \rceil^m$. In the former case, $x = x + 0(g_0 + h) + 0 + 0$ is the decomposition witnessing that x belongs to the right-hand side of (29). In the latter case, $x = y_0 + y_1 + s^p_i w$, where $w \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $y_t \in U^q_{i+1}$ for $t = 0, 1$. Applying (30), we can find $u_t \in U^p_{i+1}$, $l_t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $J_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}^{\leq 2^{n^q - i - 1}}$, $b_t \in \sum_{j \in J_t} \langle g_j \rangle$ and $z_t \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ for $t = 0, 1$ such that $|l_t| \leq 2^{n^q - i - 1}$ and $y_t = u_t + l_t(g_0 + h) + b_t + s^p_{i+1} z_t$. Since $x = y_0 + y_1 + s^p_i w$, it follows that $x = u + l(g_0 + h) + b + s^p_{i+1} z + s^p_i w$, where $u = u_0 + u_1$, $l = l_0 + l_1$, $b = b_0 + b_1$ and $z = z_0 + z_1$. Since s^p_i divides s^p_{i+1} by (8_p), $s^p_{i+1} = s^p_i k_0$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$x = u + l(g_0 + h) + b + s^p_i (k_0 z + w). \tag{31}$$

Since $u_t \in U^p_{i+1}$ for $t = 0, 1$, $u = u_0 + u_1 \in U^p_{i+1} + U^p_{i+1} \subseteq U^p_i$ by (7_p). Since $l_t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|l_t| \leq 2^{n^q - i - 1}$ for $t = 0, 1$, we have $l = l_0 + l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$|l| \leq |l_0| + |l_1| \leq 2^{n^q - i - 1} + 2^{n^q - i - 1} = 2 \cdot 2^{n^q - i - 1} = 2^{n^q - i}.$$

Since $J_t \in \{1,2,\dots,k\}^{\leq 2^{n^q-i-1}}$ for $t=0,1$, the set $J=J_0\cup J_1$ satisfies

$$|J| \leq |J_1|+|J_2| \leq 2^{n^q-i-1}+2^{n^q-i-1} = 2\cdot 2^{n^q-i-1} = 2^{n^q-i},$$

so $J \in \{1,2,\dots,k\}^{\leq 2^{n^q-i}}$. Since $b_t \in \sum_{j\in J_t}\langle g_j\rangle$ for $t=0,1$, we have $b=b_0+b_1 \in \sum_{j\in J}\langle g_j\rangle$. Since $z_t\in\mathbb{Z}^m$ for $t=0,1$, we have $z=z_0+z_1\in\mathbb{Z}^m$. Finally, since $k_0\in\mathbb{Z}$, we get $k_0z+w\in\mathbb{Z}^m$ and thus $s_i^p(k_0z+w)\in\lceil s_i^p\rceil^m$. Combining all this with (31), we conclude that

$$x \in U_i^p + l(g_0+h) + \sum_{j\in J}\langle g_j\rangle + \lceil s_i^p\rceil^m$$

with $l\in\mathbb{Z}$, $J\in\{1,2,\dots,k\}^{\leq 2^{n^q-i}}$ and $|l|\leq 2^{n^q-i}$. This finishes the proof of the inclusion (29). □

Claim 6. $U_i^q\cap(\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}+H)=U_i^p$ for every $i\in n^p+1$.

Proof. It follows from (28) that $U_i^p\subseteq U_i^q$. Since $U_i^p\subseteq\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}+H$ by (4_p), this establishes the inclusion $U_i^p\subseteq U_i^q\cap(\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}+H)$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, we fix an arbitrary $x\in U_i^q\cap(\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}+H)$ and we are going to show that $x\in U_i^p$. Since $x\in U_i^q$, we can apply Claim 5 to fix $y\in U_i^p$, $l\in\mathbb{Z}$, a finite set $J\subseteq\{1,2,\dots,k\}$, a family $\{m_j : j\in J\}\subseteq\mathbb{Z}$ and $z\in\mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $|l|\leq 2^{n^q-i}$, $|J|\leq 2^{n^q-i}$ and

$$x = y + l(g_0+h) + \sum_{j\in J} m_j g_j + s_i^p z. \tag{32}$$

Recall that $x\in\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}+H$. Furthermore, $y\in U_i^p\subseteq\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}+H$ by (4_p). Finally, $s_i^pz\in\mathbb{Z}^m\subseteq\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p}$. Since $\pi_0=\pi^p$ by (25) and $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}$ is a group, from (32) we get

$$l(g_0+h)+\sum_{j\in J}m_jg_j = x-y-s_i^pz \in \mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}+H, \tag{33}$$

so

$$lg_0 = -h-\sum_{j\in J}m_jg_j+\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}+H \in \langle\{g_j : j\in J\}\rangle+\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}+H. \tag{34}$$

Since $\{g_0\}\cup\{g_j : j\in J\}\subseteq\mathbb{Q}^m$, $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}\subseteq\mathbb{Q}^m$, $\mathbb{Q}^m$ is a group and the sum $\mathbb{Q}^m+H=\mathbb{Q}^m\oplus H$ is direct, from the inclusion (34) we obtain the stricter inclusion $lg_0\in\langle\{g_j : j\in J\}\rangle+\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}$.

Since $|J|\leq 2^{n^q-i}\leq 2^{n^q}=2^{n^p}<k$ by (24), J is a proper subset of the set $\{1,2,\dots,k\}$. Now all the assumptions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied (with $s=s^p_{n^p}$), so from item (B) of this lemma we conclude that $l=0$. From this and (33), we obtain $\sum_{j\in J}m_jg_j\in\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}+H$, so we can fix $a\in\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}$ and $b\in H$ satisfying $\sum_{j\in J}m_jg_j=a+b$. Since $\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$, from (26) we get $\sum_{j\in J}m_jg_j\in\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q}$. Therefore,

$$b=(-a)+\sum_{j\in J}m_jg_j\in\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}+\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q}\subseteq\mathbb{Q}^m.$$

Since $b\in H$, we get $b\in\mathbb{Q}^m\cap H$. Since the sum $\mathbb{Q}^m+H=\mathbb{Q}^m\oplus H$ is direct, we conclude that $b=0$. Thus, $\sum_{j\in J}m_jg_j=a\in\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}$. Since $J\subseteq\{1,2,\dots,k\}$, we get

$$\sum_{j\in J}m_jg_j\in\langle\{g_j : j\in J\}\rangle\cap\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}\subseteq\langle\{g_1,g_2,\dots,g_k\}\rangle\cap\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi_0}\subseteq\lceil s^p_{n^p}\rceil^m$$

by subitem (ii) of item (A) of Lemma 3.3 applied with $s=s^p_{n^p}$. Combining this with (32), we get $x\in y+\lceil s^p_{n^p}\rceil^m+\lceil s_i^p\rceil^m$. Since $i\leq n_p$, s_i^p divides $s^p_{n^p}$ by (8_p), so $\lceil s^p_{n^p}\rceil^m\subseteq\lceil s_i^p\rceil^m$. This gives $x\in y+\lceil s_i^p\rceil^m\subseteq U_i^p+\lceil s_i^p\rceil^m=U_i^p$ by (6_p). □

Claim 7. $q\leq p$.

Proof. We need to check conditions (i_q^p)–(iv_q^p). (i_q^p) follows from (5). Since $n^q = n^p$ by our definition, (ii_q^p) holds. (iii_q^p) is proved in Claim 6. (iv_q^p) holds by the definition of s_i^q. □

The density of D_{g+h} in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ follows from Claims 3, 4 and 7. □

7. Proof of Theorem 2.10

We shall need the following folklore lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *If $\mathscr{D}$ is an at most countable family of dense subsets of a non-empty poset $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$, then there exists an at most countable subset $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{P}$ such that $(\mathbb{F}, \leq)$ is a linearly ordered set and $\mathbb{F} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for every $D \in \mathscr{D}$.*

Proof. Since the family $\mathscr{D}$ is at most countable, we can fix an enumeration $\mathscr{D} = \{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ of elements of $\mathscr{D}$. Since $\mathbb{P} \neq \emptyset$, there exists $p_0 \in \mathbb{P}$. By induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we can choose $p_n \in D_n$ such that $p_n \leq p_{n-1}$; this is possible because D_n is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$. Now $\mathbb{F} = \{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ is the desired subset of $\mathbb{P}$. □

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and let G be a wide subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$. Let H be a countable abelian group. We work in the direct sum $\mathbb{Q}^m \oplus H$ and consider its subgroup $K = G + H$. Clearly, the sum $G + H = G \oplus H$ is direct. Therefore, K can also be considered as the direct product $G \times H$ of G and H. This observation means that it suffices to find the required group topology $\mathscr{T}$ on the subgroup $K = G + H$ of the direct sum $\mathbb{Q}^m \oplus H$.

Let $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ be the poset from Definition 5.1 which uses our $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, G and H as its parameters.

As a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^m$, G is at most countable. Since H is at most countable as well, so is the sum $K = G + H$. Therefore, the family

$$\mathscr{D} = \{C_x : x \in K \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{A_n \cap D_x : n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in K\} \tag{35}$$

of subsets of $\mathbb{P}$ is at most countable.

Lst us check that all members of $\mathscr{D}$ are dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$. By Lemma 6.5, each C_x for $x \in K \setminus \{0\}$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in K$. Since A_n is dense and downward-closed in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ by Lemma 6.3 and D_x is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ by Lemma 6.6, from Lemma 6.2 we conclude that $A_n \cap D_x$ is dense in $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$.

Apply Lemma 7.1 to find a countable set $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that $(\mathbb{F}, \leq)$ is a linearly ordered set and $\mathbb{F} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for every $D \in \mathscr{D}$. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$U_i = \bigcup \{U_i^p : p \in \mathbb{F} \text{ and } i \leq n^p\}. \tag{36}$$

Our nearest goal is to show that the family

$$\mathcal{B} = \{U_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \tag{37}$$

is a neighbourhood base at 0 of a Hausdorff group topology $\mathscr{T}$ on K. The verification of this will be split into three claims.

Claim 8. $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n = \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Since $A_n \cap D_0 \in \mathscr{D}$ by (35), there exists $p \in A_n \cap D_0 \cap \mathbb{F}$. Therefore, $n \leq n^p$ by the definition of A_n. Now $0 \in U_n^p$ by the condition (4_p) imposed on $\mathbb{P}$. Since $p \in \mathbb{F}$ and $n \leq n^p$, it follows from (36) that $U_n^p \subseteq U_n$. This shows that $0 \in U_n$. Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was chosen arbitrarily, we conclude that $0 \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$.

To prove the reverse inclusion $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \subseteq \{0\}$, we choose $x \in K \setminus \{0\}$ arbitrarily and show that $x \notin \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$. Since $C_x \in \mathscr{D}$ by (35), our choice of $\mathbb{F}$ allows us to fix $p \in C_x \cap \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$x \in (\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p} + H) \setminus U^p_{n^p} \tag{38}$$

by the definition of C_x.

Assume that $x \in U_{n^p}$. Thus, by (36), there exists $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $n^p \leq n^q$ and $x \in U^q_{n^p}$. Suppose that $p \leq q$. Then $U^p_{n^p} \cap (\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^q} + H) = U^q_{n^p}$ by (iii^q_p). Since $x \in U^q_{n^p}$, this implies $x \in U^p_{n^p}$, in contradiction with (38). Similarly, assume that $q \leq p$. Then $U^q_{n^p} \cap (\mathbb{Q}^m_{\pi^p} + H) = U^p_{n^p}$ by (iii^p_q), so $x \notin U^q_{n^p}$ by (38), in contradiction with $x \in U^q_{n^p}$. This contradiction shows that neither $p \leq q$ nor $q \leq p$ holds. Since $p, q \in \mathbb{F}$, this contradicts the fact that $\mathbb{F}$ is linearly ordered by $\leq$. This contradiction shows that our assumption that $x \in U_{n^p}$ is false, so $x \notin \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} U_n$. □

Claim 9. $-U_i = U_i$ and $U_{i+1} + U_{i+1} \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $x \in U_i$. By (36), this means that $x \in U^p_i$ for some $p \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfying $i \leq n^p$. By (5_p), we know that $-U^p_i = U^p_i$, so $-x \in U^p_i$. This proves the inclusion $-U_i \subseteq U_i$. The reverse inclusion is proved analogously.

Finally, consider $x, y \in U_{i+1}$. By (36), there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $x \in U^p_{i+1}$, $y \in U^q_{i+1}$, $i+1 \leq n^p$ and $i+1 \leq n^q$. Since $(\mathbb{F}, \leq)$ is a linear order, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $q \leq p$. Since $i + 1 \leq n^p$, (iii^p_q) implies $x \in U^p_{i+1} \subseteq U^q_{i+1}$. Therefore, $x, y \in U^q_{i+1}$. Since $i + 1 \leq n^q$, we have $x + y \in U^q_{i+1} + U^q_{i+1} \subseteq U^q_i$ by (7_q). Then $x + y \in U_i$ by (36). This shows that $U_{i+1} + U_{i+1} \subseteq U_i$, as desired. □

Claim 10. The family $\mathcal{B}$ as in (37) is a neighbourhood base at 0 of some Hausdorff group topology $\mathscr{T}$ on G.

Proof. It easily follows from Claims 8 and 9 that $U_m \subseteq U_n$ whenever $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \leq m$. Combined with (37), this implies that $\mathcal{B}$ is a filter base. By (37) and Claim 9, $\mathcal{B}$ has the following two properties.

- For every $U \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $V + V \subseteq U$; and
- For every $U \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $-V \subseteq U$.

By [2, Theorem 3.1.5], the family

$$\mathscr{T} = \{O \subseteq K : \forall\, a \in O\ \exists\, U \in \mathcal{B}\ (a + U \subseteq O)\}$$

is a topology on K making it into a topological group such that the family $\mathcal{B}$ is a neighbourhood base at 0 comprised of $\mathscr{T}$-neighborhoods of 0. It follows from Claim 8 and [2, Theorem 4.1.1] that $\mathscr{T}$ is Hausdorff. □

Claim 11. The topological group $(K, \mathscr{T})$ has the small subgroup generating property.

Proof. We are going to check that $(K, \mathscr{T})$ has the property (ii) from Proposition 1.7.

Let W be a neighbourhood of 0 in $(K, \mathscr{T})$. By Claim 10, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_i \subseteq W$.

Take an arbitrary $x \in K$. Since $A_i \cap D_x \in \mathscr{D}$, there exists $q \in \mathbb{F} \cap A_i \cap D_x$. Since $q \in D_x$, we can find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x \in U^q_{n_q} + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U^q_{n_q})\rangle_k. \tag{39}$$

Since $q \in A_i$, we have $i \leq n^q$, so $U^q_{n^q} \subseteq U^q_i$ by Remark 5.2 (i). Since $q \in \mathbb{F}$, from (36) we get $U^q_i \subseteq U_i$, so $U^q_{n^q} \subseteq U_i \subseteq W$, which implies $\mathrm{Cyc}(U^q_{n^q}) \subseteq \mathrm{Cyc}(W)$. Therefore,

$$U^q_{n_q} + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(U^q_{n_q})\rangle_k \subseteq W + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle_k. \tag{40}$$

Combining (39) and (40), we conclude that $x \in \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} W + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle_k$. Since this inclusion holds for every $x \in K$, we get $K \subseteq \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} W + \langle \mathrm{Cyc}(W)\rangle_k$. The converse inclusion is clear. □

Since $(K, \mathscr{T})$ is Hausdorff and has a countable base at 0 by Claim 10, it is metrizable. Being countable, $(K, \mathscr{T})$ is also separable.

References

[1] W.W. Comfort and F. R. Gould, *Some classes of minimally almost periodic topological groups*, Appl. Gen. Topol. 16 (2015), 141–165.

[2] D. Dikranjan, *Introduction to Topological Groups*, Reference Notes, University of Udine, 2013.

[3] D. Dikranjan and D. Shakhmatov, *A complete solution of Markov's problem on connected group topologies*, Adv. Math. 286 (2016), 286–307.

[4] D. Dikranjan and D. Shakhmatov, *Topological groups with many small subgroups*, Topology Appl. 200 (2016), 101–132.

[5] D. Dikranjan and D. Shakhmatov, *Final solution of Protasov-Comfort's problem on minimally almost periodic group topologies*, preprint, `arXiv:1410.3313`.

[6] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian groups*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[7] S. Gabriyelyan, *Bounded subgroups as a von Neumann radical of an Abelian group*, Topology Appl. 178 (2014), 185–199.

[8] F. Gould, *On certain classes of minimally almost periodic groups*, Thesis (Ph.D.), Wesleyan University. 2009. 136 pp. ISBN: 978-1109-22005-6.

[9] F. Gould, *An* SSGP *topology for* $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$, Topology Proc. 44 (2014), 389–392.

[10] J. von Neumann, *Almost periodic functions in a group I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1934), 445–492.

[11] T. Szele, *On the basic subgroups of abelian p-groups*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 5 (1954), 129–141.

Division of Mathematics, Physics and Earth Sciences, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan

E-mail address: `dmitri.shakhmatov@ehime-u.ac.jp`

Master's Course, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan

E-mail address: `victor_yanez@comunidad.unam.mx`